


REMARKS

In ¶ 2 of the office action, claims 33-49 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In particular, the Examiner has objected to the term "rail" that appears in independent claims 33 and 45. In response to this rejection, each of claims 33 and 45 has been amended to remove the term "rails". Although independent claim 40 and claims 41-44 dependent thereon were also rejected on the same ground, the Applicants believe that claims 40-44 were included in the rejection by mistake, since the term "rail" is lacking from independent claim 40.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of claims 33-49 is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted,

August 19, 2005
Date


Dennis M. Flaherty
Reg. No. 31,159
Ostrager Chong Flaherty &
Broitman P.C.
250 Park Avenue, Suite 825
New York, NY 10177-0899
Tel. No.: 212-681-0600




Atty. Docket: ITW-13632.01

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

August 19, 2005

Date


Dennis M. Flaherty